


SQUARE-ACI-ICBHA 2017 post conference Survey report

The survey was conducted using Survey monkey.

Overall, how would you rate the conference?


Answered: 99 Skipped: 1



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
▼ Excellent	23.23% 23
▼ Very good	38.38% 38
▼ Good	28.28% 28
▼ Fair	8.08% 8
▼ Poor	2.02% 2
TOTAL	99

How organized was the event?


Answered: 99 Skipped: 1



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
▼ Extremely organized	5.05% 8
▼ Very organized	64.65% 64
▼ Somewhat organized	27.27% 27
▼ Not so organized	2.02% 2
▼ Not at all organized	1.01% 1
TOTAL	99

How easy was the registration and abstract submission process ?


Answered: 100 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Extremely easy	13.00% 13
Easy	64.00% 64
Somewhat easy	13.00% 13
Not so easy	8.00% 8
It was difficult	2.00% 2
TOTAL	100

How quickly the conference organizing committee responded to your queries?


Answered: 99 Skipped: 1



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Very quickly	27.27% 27
As I was expected	54.55% 54
Took long time to respond	18.18% 18
Did not receive any reply at all	0.00% 0
TOTAL	99


Prior to the event, how much of the information that you needed did you get?

Answered: 100 Skipped: 0



Was conference length too long too short or about right?

Answered: 100 Skipped: 0



TEXT reponse questions

How did you like the conference web page?

Answered: 82 Skipped: 18

RESPONSES (82)

TEXT ANALYSIS

TAGS

Most of the answers were “ Very good, good, nice, perfect”. There were some comments beyond that which are mentioned here:

I thought the page containing information about the accepted abstracts and related comments could be improved.

1/28/2018 8:11 AM

It's good. But need more informative for applicants. Application process. Because as a 1st user I am afraid about the registration when it will happened where it will happened and how it will happened. Overall it's good. Thank you

I thought the page containing information about the accepted abstracts and related comments could be improved.

1/28/2018 8:11 AM

Some articles were selected man in view not on the basis of scientific merits of the paper. unbiased paper selection is extremely necessary. Altogether conference was Biotechnology in Agriculture and Medicine but oldest seat of Agriculture and expert in medical biotechnology were absent, It need to well addressed and selection of Chair and co-chair need to be more scientific not to institutionalized, Over all arrangement was good,

1/28/2018 2:04 AM

Perfectly described everything

1/28/2018 1:45 AM

Resourcefull,to get complete guildlines about the conference.

1/27/2018 6:56 PM

Very informative and just right. Addition of video clips from the illustrious people could have added a flavor to the browsers. Overall, the contents in the web were well thought and interactive.

1/27/2018 1:52 PM

The web page was somewhat unorganized.

1/27/2018 1:46 PM

All information about the conference was available in time.

1/27/2018 12:16 PM

Web page is well organized and most of information i knew through web page. So, i liked it

1/27/2018 12:12 PM

It was nice and well organized.

1/27/2018 12:02 PM

I found the web page always updated and self-explanatory and liked it very much.

1/27/2018 11:39 AM

It was extremely helpful and I got all the updates.

1/27/2018 11:16 AM

It was well organized and everything was very convenient. The website was effective.

1/27/2018 11:10 AM

It's a little bit complex

1/27/2018 11:08 AM

it had all information for the programs but there were no valid contact number to call the organizers for further information which we was difficult to do so. but other than that everything was perfect.

1/27/2018 10:55 AM

The web page is very informative and organised 😊

1/27/2018 10:05 AM

It was an excellent web page

1/27/2018 10:01 AM

What did you like and dislike about the conference?

Answered: 80 Skipped: 20

Many of the answers were “ Liked it , good, satisfied”. But we also got some very valuable comments which are mentioned below:

The Date of 38th BCS was 29 December. But the date of the program was fixed and there are no consideration for other Division's participants.

1/31/2018 8:13 PM

it is really helpful to young biotechnologists and the time duration should be shorter..

1/31/2018 4:55 AM

I liked the time maintenance, addition of cultural events and smooth organization, I disliked the running of two sessions at the same time

1/30/2018 7:12 AM

the way you chose only some categories for awards and prizes, and completely overlooking some criteria was what I disliked most. what I liked most was the presence of honorable guests who are the veterans and frontrunners of biological sciences

1/29/2018 10:06 AM

Poster Sessions were very informative and enjoyable.

1/29/2018 9:14 AM

The most striking thing was: The Organizing secretary was not in the stage to say vote of thanks !! Instead, someone else toot that responsibility!! In my opinion this was a violation of regular practice !!!

1/29/2018 8:04 AM

In some session, presentation was too short. In addition, Projector screen was somewhat too hazy to illustrate the original color.

1/29/2018 4:31 AM

The conference offered us a wonderful platform to explore our research activities. Some presentations were really effective. Additionally, it was a great opportunity to know world famous researchers and learn from them.

Very short time for presentation.

1/29/2018 2:40 AM

I liked the initiative that was taken to showcase the research of home and abroad scientists. It definitely encouraged people for improving their quality of work. I think there were some changes to the schedule which was not properly informed and it could be improved.

1/28/2018 7:41 PM

I liked the sessions started on time, but exceeded the overall time limit

1/28/2018 12:56 PM

Well, I thought the speakers could be given some more talk-time. I could not like that, most of the speakers were not given the scope to answer the audience queries after their talks. The session took place at the main hall was good, but the those that were presented at the seminar hall was really poor. I thought, most of the speakers there could not afford to present nicely as the set up was not up to mark. The poster presentation area could be more organized and, well, I'm not sure if the poster judgement process was so unbiased. I have heard a number of poster presenters telling that, they were not interviewed or have not seen any judges spending time in front of their posters. Well, I was not a presenter their! Well, the part I liked most was the presence of a number of great life science experts! I could meet a number of eminent scientists of the country. I hope, in future, more scientists and researchers would pay their kind presence!

1/28/2018 8:11 AM

Organizing and other arrangements were very good. But there were minor issues like dinning area was small to accommodate all at a time, volunteers/ coordinators were not well prepared. There were several presentation from scientists working abroad but I would like to see more. Even scientists from non-BD origin will also be a good idea.

1/28/2018 7:09 AM

Registration booth was not so good

1/28/2018 3:37 AM

Like the organization. But food place were small.

1/28/2018 1:45 AM

I like most of the things. But I think wider space should be allocated for poster presentation. Non vegetarian food should available for foreign guest.

1/28/2018 1:29 AM

The gift was not perfect.

1/27/2018 11:57 PM

Liked : the huge number of participation Disliked : the number of quality and foreign participant is very low

1/27/2018 8:56 PM

Most of the programs were very much standard but the conference bag were below standard

1/27/2018 8:38 PM

I did not like the time given for presentation

1/27/2018 8:36 PM

Audience should be able to ask question to every presenter.

1/27/2018 7:57 PM

Overall program was good, but sometimes it looked mistimed. I hoped many more foreign scientists were come, but it wasn't happened.

1/27/2018 6:56 PM

Liked the atmosphere, Disliked the absence of tshirt

1/27/2018 3:32 PM

Positives are many. Organized and decorated. Lightning rounds which were designed to encourage students were fabulous and truly appreciating, however this session also saw some speakers from faculties and senior professionals. Session for Award giving ceremony was extremely fascinating: very emotional as well as constructive. Conference dinner was great, so is the Conference kit. Support from volunteers was good, and recognizing their efforts in the closing ceremony is really appreciating. Venue was perfect, although few logistics were poor, e.g. resolution of multimedia in both the hall room and seminar room, and the washroom management. Negatives: Some of the session speakers appeared below par. Lunch of the day 1 was not up to the mark. Few speakers in the inaugural session did not have the time sense, that eventually pushed the following sessions of the conference in a chaos.

1/27/2018 1:52 PM

the abstract submission was awesome but food were so disgusting. & the coffee was worsen over

1/27/2018 1:29 PM

Liked everything apart from foods.

1/27/2018 12:50 PM

dislike about the time management and foods

1/27/2018 12:45 PM

Time should be maintained strictly for every speakers. Each speaker must get time for discussion right after his /her presentation.

1/27/2018 12:16 PM

Events were good but the time for oral presentations was not properly maintained

1/27/2018 12:14 PM

The poster session should be more extended in time.

1/27/2018 12:04 PM

I like the food items especially when rice was served. I dislike the lightning presentation session, the time was so short that I can't understand well, poster presentation place was very short, if it would be in an open place or a large room, it would be better.

1/27/2018 11:56 AM

The foods are ok though expected better. But, the disliking thing is, the people who were in service of serving food at lunches were reluctant to give food in case of refilling. That's a pretty odd experience.
1/27/2018 11:51 AM

Overall, the conference was well organized. However, time management should be improved.
1/27/2018 11:39 AM

I like about the conference was the presentations and dislike the same time of the two different session in two different conference hall as i wanted to attend both
1/27/2018 11:39 AM

I liked the time schedule, the cultural program at the end of the first day and overall organization. But one thing i did not like is that during my poster presentation i dis not get necessary materials to mount the poster which was supposed to be provided by the authority. Please improve this part next time.
1/27/2018 11:10 AM

Environment nice, but conference material is very ordinary
1/27/2018 11:01 AM

Liking: Most of the things Disliking: There should be more international speakers rather than only Bangladeshi scientists
1/27/2018 10:46 AM

a good number of oral presentations that I liked but the overall judgement procedure had lackings like improper time warning for the participants and all judges seems to could not make time to reach every poster.
1/27/2018 10:36 AM

There are lots of spelling mistakes in conference kits and other mandatory elements
1/27/2018 10:28 AM

The conference was well organized, but the power point presentations were gloomy to observe, food was not so good and standard. The most important part is the priize winners in poster or the other catagories must be rewarded with prize money. It is an intenational conference right??
1/27/2018 10:22 AM

i liked discipline and overall management system and disliked the bag and food
1/27/2018 10:20 AM

The arrangements were very good and well organised.The only thing I disliked was the timing of events.there were more than one events that were taking place at a time.so it was not possible to attend all the events.I know there is time limitations. but it would be better if we could attend all the events.
1/27/2018 10:05 AM

This a platform for global Bangladeshi Biotechnologists. Only few participants attended from foreign countries. There might have special session or get together / reception for the foreign participants since they joined with more sacrifice and cost than the national.
1/27/2018 10:02 AM

I liked the program of the conference. Did not like the schedule disruption on day 1
1/27/2018 10:01 AM

Liked presentation. disliked food

1/27/2018 9:59 AM

The speakers of the conference were excellent. The organization was good. The schedule in the first day was disrupted which is not expected at all

1/27/2018 9:47 AM

Is there anything else you'd like to share about the event?

Answered: 67 Skipped: 33

Most of the comments were "no additional comments". There are few beyond that which are mentioned below:

we dont get the conference photos..

1/31/2018 4:55 AM

The online registration process may include early bird registration and late registration keeping enough time to rap up the final preparations! In that case late registrants will pay extra fees! Another thing, if two many applicants are there, then before asking them for final payment we can charge little money for review and final payment can be done after the abstract being accepted !!

1/29/2018 8:04 AM

Allocated place for poster presentation was in backward position. If possible, allow all posters to be hanged throughout the conference period.

1/29/2018 4:31 AM

There should be a business session where innovative ideas can be discussed with potential investors. GNOBB can be a platform for connecting scientists with buiness people

1/28/2018 3:53 AM

Foreign participates is low

1/28/2018 2:23 AM

More event will be arrange in future on modern biotechnology related works

1/28/2018 1:29 AM

maintaining schedule time is necessary

1/27/2018 10:01 PM

Poster and oral presenter should be given another certificate

1/27/2018 8:38 PM

It would be better to maintain time properly and remove the time used for eccesories

1/27/2018 8:36 PM

It's very awkward for me to didn't find my name in registration paper after got my confirmation email.

1/27/2018 6:56 PM

i wish it will be bigger in future

1/27/2018 3:32 PM

management must be more efficient

1/27/2018 2:43 PM

I would like the organizing committee to invite more keynote speakers in future.

1/27/2018 12:16 PM

Need more constructive and international coverage .

1/27/2018 12:04 PM

The poster presentation was not good.Very little space was allocated for poster presentation although I gave oral but during poster I saw the place as tiny

1/27/2018 12:02 PM

expect more organized event and with less communication gap between volunteers

1/27/2018 11:56 AM

I would like to thank the committee for organizing such conference. It's is a very nice gathering of all the biologists and a wonderful opportunity to present their work.

1/27/2018 11:10 AM

As being an undergraduate student, i learned lots of new information through this program.

1/27/2018 10:55 AM

It was a good experience. I would love to join in the next conference!

1/27/2018 10:46 AM

I liked the event..hope that square aci icbha will arrange more conferences like this in the near future

1/27/2018 10:33 AM

There should add career development and motivation session.


1/27/2018 10:23 AM

I would be looking forward to join the event again

1/27/2018 10:01 AM

How likely is it that you would recommend the conference to a friend or colleague?

Answered: 91 Skipped: 9



Since we have a positive score, it is good. However, It would be better if it was in the range of 30-50. Actually from the graphs, I expected that. However, in answering the question, lot of people were passive, which does not reflect the bar graph results and may be a social and cultural trend of Bangladesh.